



2

\*1

86/7/14 :

1

2

E-mail:m\_mottaghi@gstp.ir

\*

540

6 ) 9 ( × ) 3×3

( 10

× × ) 3×3×2

49 (

1 21

(P<0/05)

(P<0/05)

21 42

( 21 42 )

9/4 13/3

4/3 7/3

(P<0/05)

1 49

(P<0/05)

(P<0/05)

6/3 8/7

8/7 9/6

## Effects of Beta-Glucanase Supplementation from Two Different Sources on Broiler Chickens Performance of Either Sex Fed Hull-less Barley Based Diets

M Mottaghitlab<sup>1\*</sup> and MR Ebrahimiyan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Guilan, Rast, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Student MSC Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Guilan, Rast, Iran

\*Corresponding author: E-mail:m\_mottaghi@gstp.ir

### Abstract

This study was conducted to compare the effects of  $\beta$ -glucanase of two preparations on the male and female broiler performance. 540 day-old chicks from either sex were randomly assigned to 9 dietary treatments for 49 days (6 replicates of 10 chicks were used for each treatment). The experimental design was a completely randomized with factorial arrangement of treatments:  $3 \times 3$  (kinds of enzyme  $\times$  levels of hull-less barley). Numbered plates dangled to day-old chicks shank and individuals weighted for determining of sex effects. The experimental design for weight gain and carcass performance was a completely randomized design with factorial arrangement of treatments:  $3 \times 3 \times 2$  (kinds of enzyme  $\times$  levels of hull-less barley  $\times$  sex). In the end of experiment, two chicks were selected from each replicate and considered for carcass analysis. Results showed that weight gain from day 1-21 and 21-42 were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) influenced by hull-less barley and enzyme levels; i.e., either enzyme significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased weight gain. Inclusion of enzyme and hull-less barley (HB) to diets had significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) effect on feed intake (FI) and feed conversion (Fc). Locally produced (GP82 $\beta$ -Glucanase) and commercial (ZY $\beta$ -Glucanase) enzyme from day 1 to 49 increased FI significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) at 7.3, 4.3 and FC at 13.3, 9.4, respectively. No significant difference was observed between two kinds of enzymes. Enzyme inclusion from both preparations to HB diets appeared with significant increase ( $p < 0.05$ ) on edible carcass and abdominal fat, but significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) decreased the visceral of both sexes. No significant differences were observed between two kinds of enzymes. Breast percentage (BP) again was influenced by enzyme, HB and sex. Locally produced enzyme led to significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) increase in BP at 9.6, 8.7% and commercial enzyme at 8.7, 6.3% in male and female chicks, respectively. In conclusion, GP82  $\beta$ -glucanase enzyme is of a great potential and comparable to commercial enzyme ( $\beta$ -glucanase hydrolysis).

**Key Words:** Beta-glucanase, Broiler, Carcass, Hull-less barley, Performance

(  
 540  
 9  
 10 6 )  
 1 : ( (1998) (1993) )  
 2 ( )  
 3<sup>2</sup> (E<sub>1</sub>)  
 %40 4<sup>3</sup> 82 (E<sub>2</sub>)  
 HB %40 6 HB %60 5 (HB<sup>4</sup>)  
 %40 8 E<sub>1</sub> HB %60 7 E<sub>1</sub> (1997)  
 E<sub>2</sub> HB %60 9 E<sub>2</sub> HB 1100  
 ) (1990)  
 (1994 )  
 21 42) ( 1 21) %25/3  
 ( 42 49) ( )  
 3050 3000 2950  
 ) ( 1 )<sup>1</sup>  
 ( )  
 (E<sub>1</sub>) 60 40  
 ) (1251±5/31IU/g) (1993)  
 82 (E<sub>2</sub>) ( )  
 (1348±5/12IU/g)  
 6 5 )

E<sub>2</sub> = 0/05 E<sub>1</sub> = 0/05

<sup>1</sup>Bioavailability <sup>2</sup>ZYβGlucanase  
<sup>3</sup>GP82βGlucanase <sup>4</sup>Hulless barley  
<sup>5</sup>*Aspergillus niger* <sup>6</sup>*Trichoderma longibrachiatum*

5 21 42 .(2 )  
1 21 (P<0/05) 42 49  
.(2 )  
2 ( 21 42 )  
21 42 1 21 3 .(2)  
42 49 (P<0/05) (P<0/05)

1 21 14/2 27 (P<0/05)  
21 42 12/9 20  
9/4 13/3  
21 1 49  
14/8 21 42 7/6 16/8 1  
9/8 49  
60 40  
) )  
( )  
( )  
(P<0/05) 3 \*3  
:  
) (E2 E1 )  
.(4 ) (%60 40 0  
(P<0/05)  
:  
3× 3×2  
(E2 E1 ) ( )  
(P<0/05) (%60 40 0)  
GLM (1993) SAS

(P<0/05)

8/7 9/6

(1985 )

6/3 8/7

(P<0/05)

40 )

10/8

( 14/1

1995 1981 )

(1999 1998

9/3

4/8

)

( 42 49 )

(

)

(1999 )

( 40

)

(1990 1995 )

(2002 1998

(1991 )



( )						- 2	
42 49		21 42		1 21			
522	587	1126 <sup>a</sup>	1275 <sup>a</sup>	420 <sup>ab</sup>	450 <sup>ab</sup>	0	
470	533	1002 <sup>c</sup>	1075 <sup>c</sup>	321 <sup>d</sup>	345 <sup>cd</sup>	40	
463	514	989 <sup>d</sup>	1009 <sup>d</sup>	311 <sup>d</sup>	318 <sup>d</sup>	60	
526	580	1120 <sup>a</sup>	1268 <sup>a</sup>	430 <sup>ab</sup>	477 <sup>a</sup>	0	
496	566	1072 <sup>a</sup>	1259 <sup>ab</sup>	404 <sup>ab</sup>	430 <sup>ab</sup>	40	
491	565	1009 <sup>c</sup>	1087 <sup>c</sup>	356 <sup>c</sup>	382 <sup>bc</sup>	60	
529	590	1135 <sup>a</sup>	1287 <sup>a</sup>	447 <sup>a</sup>	487 <sup>a</sup>	0	
521	579	1065 <sup>ab</sup>	1257 <sup>ab</sup>	418 <sup>ab</sup>	444 <sup>ab</sup>	40	
481	552	1012 <sup>b</sup>	1170 <sup>bc</sup>	345 <sup>cd</sup>	365 <sup>c</sup>	60	
40/5	37/2	50/5	47/3	16/2	15/7		SEM (E)
509	551	1109 <sup>a</sup>	1248 <sup>a</sup>	402 <sup>a</sup>	452 <sup>a</sup>		
512	577	1079 <sup>a</sup>	1194 <sup>a</sup>	379 <sup>ab</sup>	416 <sup>a</sup>		
464	542	982 <sup>b</sup>	1040 <sup>b</sup>	352 <sup>b</sup>	356 <sup>b</sup>		( ) (HB)
515	570	1092 <sup>a</sup>	1219 <sup>a</sup>	417 <sup>a</sup>	420 <sup>a</sup>	0	
504	555	1087 <sup>a</sup>	1200 <sup>a</sup>	399 <sup>a</sup>	424 <sup>a</sup>	40	
490	545	952 <sup>b</sup>	1119 <sup>b</sup>	333 <sup>b</sup>	343 <sup>b</sup>	60	(S)
557 <sup>a</sup>		1181 <sup>a</sup>		396			
495 <sup>b</sup>		1070 <sup>b</sup>		383			
NS		*		**			E
NS		*		**			HB
**		**		NS			S
NS		NS		NS			E×HB
NS		NS		NS			E×S
NS		NS		NS			HB×S
NS		*		NS			E×HB×S
(P<0/05)							
= ** 0/05		= * (P>0/05)		=NS	=S	=HB	=E .
0/01							

( )

-3

( )

1 49	21 42	1 21	1 49	21 42	1 21	
1/63 <sup>a</sup>	1/63 <sup>ab</sup>	1/44 <sup>a</sup>	3573 <sup>ab</sup>	1798 <sup>bc</sup>	722 <sup>a</sup>	0
2/11 <sup>c</sup>	1/96 <sup>c</sup>	1/84 <sup>c</sup>	3339 <sup>c</sup>	1643 <sup>d</sup>	652 <sup>bc</sup>	40
2/39 <sup>d</sup>	2/16 <sup>d</sup>	2/02 <sup>d</sup>	2968 <sup>d</sup>	1503 <sup>e</sup>	646 <sup>c</sup>	60
1/64 <sup>a</sup>	1/61 <sup>a</sup>	1/49 <sup>a</sup>	3645 <sup>ab</sup>	1895 <sup>ab</sup>	736 <sup>a</sup>	0
1/81 <sup>ab</sup>	1/75 <sup>ab</sup>	1/58 <sup>ab</sup>	3494 <sup>b</sup>	1781 <sup>bc</sup>	712 <sup>ab</sup>	40
1/92 <sup>b</sup>	1/87 <sup>bc</sup>	1/65 <sup>ab</sup>	3438 <sup>c</sup>	1705 <sup>cd</sup>	702 <sup>abc</sup>	60
1/68 <sup>a</sup>	1/6 <sup>a</sup>	1/55 <sup>ab</sup>	3714 <sup>a</sup>	1965 <sup>a</sup>	735 <sup>a</sup>	0
1/78 <sup>a</sup>	1/73 <sup>ab</sup>	1/64 <sup>ab</sup>	3503 <sup>b</sup>	1759 <sup>cd</sup>	716 <sup>ab</sup>	40
1/94 <sup>b</sup>	1/86 <sup>b</sup>	1/68 <sup>b</sup>	3346 <sup>c</sup>	1728 <sup>cd</sup>	685 <sup>abc</sup>	60
0/11	0/15	0/21	216/6	107/1	54/3	
						SEM
						(E)
1/76 <sup>a</sup>	1/74 <sup>a</sup>	1/63 <sup>a</sup>	3528 <sup>a</sup>	1890 <sup>a</sup>	717 <sup>a</sup>	
1/84 <sup>a</sup>	1/74 <sup>a</sup>	1/55 <sup>a</sup>	3429 <sup>ab</sup>	1830 <sup>ab</sup>	713 <sup>a</sup>	
2/03 <sup>b</sup>	1/91 <sup>b</sup>	1/79 <sup>b</sup>	3287 <sup>b</sup>	1708 <sup>b</sup>	647 <sup>b</sup>	
						(HB)
1/69 <sup>a</sup>	1/62 <sup>a</sup>	1/51 <sup>a</sup>	3472 <sup>a</sup>	1876 <sup>a</sup>	704 <sup>a</sup>	0
1/88 <sup>ab</sup>	1/81 <sup>b</sup>	1/69 <sup>ab</sup>	3348 <sup>ab</sup>	1774 <sup>ab</sup>	642 <sup>ab</sup>	40
2/15 <sup>b</sup>	1/97 <sup>b</sup>	1/77 <sup>b</sup>	3223 <sup>b</sup>	1743 <sup>b</sup>	627 <sup>b</sup>	60
**	*	**	*	**	**	E
*	*	*	*	*	*	HB
NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	E×HB

(P<0/05)

= \*\* 0/05

= \* (P>0/05)

=NS

=S

=HB

=E

0/01





1993 ) )  
(1997 1997 (

## References

- Ankrah NO, Campbell GL, Tyler RT, Rossnagel BG and Sokhansanj SRT, 1999. Hydrothermal and beta-glucanase effect on the nutritional and physical properties of starch in normal and waxy hull-less barley. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 81: 205-219.
- Bergh MO, Razdam A and Aman P, 1999. Nutritional influence of broiler chickens diets based on covered normal, waxy and high amylose barleys with or without enzyme supplementation. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 78:215-226.
- Brenes A, Smite M, Guenter W and Marquardt RR, 1993. Effect of enzyme supplementation on the performance and digestive tract size of broiler chickens fed wheat and barley-based diets. *Poultry Science* 72: 1731-1739.
- Classen HL, Campbell GL, Rossnagel BG, Bhatta R and Rehchert RD, 1985. Studies in the use of hull-less barley in the chick diets: deleterious effects and methods of alleviation. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 65:725-733.
- Esteve E, Brufau J, Miquel A and Duven K, 1997. Bioefficacy of enzyme preparations containing beta-glucanase and xylanase activities in broiler diets based on barley or wheat, in combination with flavomycin. *Poultry Science* 76:1728-1737.

- 
- ...
- Fuente JM, Perezde Ayala P, Flores A and Villamide MJ, 1998. Effect of storage time and dietary enzyme on the metabolizable energy and digesta viscosity of barley-based diets for poultry. *Poultry Science* 77:90-97.
- Howard Kratzer F, Latshaw JD, Leeson SL, Moran ET, Parsons CM, Sell JL and Waldroup PW, 1994. Nutrient requirements of poultry. National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Jenn-Chung Hsu BY and Chiou PWS, 1998. Effect of beta- glucanase supplementation of barley diets on growth performance of broiler. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 70:353-361.
- Jeroch H and Danicke S, 1995. Barley in poultry feeding: a review. *Worlds Poultry Science* 51: 271-291.
- Nahase J and Lenfrancois MR, 2002. Effects of feeding locally grown whole barley with or without enzyme addition and whole wheat on broiler performance and carcass traits. *Poultry Science* 80: 195- 202.
- Rosemary KN, Newman RK and Newman CW, 1998. Value of a new hull-less barley cultivar in broiler chick diets. *Poultry Science* 67: 1573-1579.
- Rotter BA, Friesen OD, Guenter W and Marquardt RR, 1990. Influence of enzyme supplementation on the bioavailable energy of barley. *Poultry Science* 69: 1174-1181.
- Salih ME, Classen HL and Campbell GL, 1991. Response of chickens fed on hull-less barley to dietary beta-glucanase at different age. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 33:139-149.
- SAS, 1993. SAS users guide: Statistics version 6.12 edition, SAS Institute, Inc. Cary, NC.
- Svihus B, Herstad O, Newman CW and Newman RK, 1997. Comparison of performance and intestinal characteristics of broiler chickens fed on diets containing whole, rolled or ground barley. *British Poultry Science* 39:524-529.
- Vukic Vranjes M and Wenk C, 1995. The influence of extruded vs. untreated barley in the feed with and without dietary enzyme supplement on broiler performance. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 54:21-32.
- White WB, Bird HR, Sunde ML and Martlett JA, 1981. The viscosity interaction of barley beta-glucan with *Trichoderma viride* cellulase in the chick intestine. *Poultry Science* 62:1043-1048.



1

( 42 49)						( 21 42)						( 1 21)						1	%
7	6	5	4	2	1	7	6	5	4	2	1	7	6	5	4	2	1		
0/05	0/05			0/05		0/05	0/05			0/05		0/05	0/05			0/05			
60	40	60	40			60	40	60	40			60	40	60	40				
13/38	30/42	13/35	30/52	65/77	65/9	10/6	27/68	9/68	27/79	63/89	64	3/81	20/78	3/78	20/76	56/64	56/75		
16/77	21/05	16/94	21/06	24/1	24/07	17/9	22/13	19/23	22/14	27/94	27/94	22/24	26/6	22/41	26/78	29	29		
1/24	0/11	1/12	1	1	1	3/32	2/23	2/53	2/21	1/6	1/59	5/06	3/89	4/95	3/78	5/16	5/14		
5/5	4/98	5/5	4/94	3/57	3/52	5	4/47	5/3	4/43	2/71	2/67	5/5	5	5/5	5	3/43	3/38		
				2	2											2	2		
0/72	0/83	0/73	0/84	0/72	0/72	0/71	0/82	0/8	0/82	0/88	0/88	0/88	1	0/89	1/01	0/84	0/84		
0/84	1/01	0/84	1/01	1/29	1/29	0/85	1/02	0/86	1/02	1/33	1/33	0/76	0/94	0/77	0/94	1/2	1/2		
0/18	0/2	0/18	0/2	0/19	0/19	0/23	0/25	0/24	0/25	0/26	0/26	0/31	0/33	0/31	0/33	0/31	0/31		
1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25	1/25		2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05	0/05		
0/03	0/03	0/03	0/03	0/01	0/01	0/05	0/05	0/06	0/05	0/04	0/04	0/1	0/1	0/1	0/11	0/07	0/07		

3050	3050	3050	3050	3050	3050	3000	3000	3000	3000	3000	3000	2950	2950	2950	2950	2950	2950	kcal/kg
17/15	17/15	17/15	17/15	17/15	17/15	18/75	18/75	18/75	18/75	18/75	18/75	21/2	21/2	21/2	21/2	21/2	21/2	%
3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/5	3/5	3/5	3/5	3/5	3/5	%
0/76	0/76	0/76	0/76	0/76	0/76	0/84	0/84	0/84	0/84	0/84	0/84	0/92	0/92	0/92	0/92	0/92	0/92	%
0/28	0/28	0/28	0/28	0/28	0/28	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/33	0/41	0/41	0/41	0/41	0/41	0/41	%
7/1	7/1	7/1	7/1	7/1	7/1	6/7	6/7	6/7	6/7	6/7	6/7	6/3	6/3	6/3	6/3	6/3	6/3	%

ZYβ- 7 6 2 ( ) GP82β-Glucanase 7 6 2 9 8 3 .1

( ) Glucanase

. %0/15 B K, E, D<sub>3</sub> A (%0/25) (%0/25) .2

250/000 mg 200 mg 1/000 mg 10/000 mg 100/000 mg 50/000 mg 100/000 mg : .3

10/000 mg B<sub>2</sub> 6/600 mg B<sub>1</sub> 1/800 mg K<sub>3</sub> 2/000 mg E 18/000 IU D<sub>3</sub> 2/000/000 IU A 9/000/000 IU : .4

250/000 mg 100 mg B<sub>12</sub> 15 mg B<sub>9</sub> 1/000 mg B<sub>6</sub> 300 mg B<sub>5</sub> 30/000 mg B<sub>3</sub>

16/23 <sup>ab</sup>	15/59 <sup>ab</sup>	27/9	28/19	27 <sup>a</sup>	28/31 <sup>ab</sup>	5/29 <sup>a</sup>	4/05 <sup>a</sup>	69/8 <sup>a</sup>	71/1 <sup>a</sup>	0	
17/55 <sup>cd</sup>	18/16 <sup>cd</sup>	26/99	27/52	24/73 <sup>bc</sup>	26/32 <sup>c</sup>	4/03 <sup>bc</sup>	3/14 <sup>c</sup>	67/9 <sup>c</sup>	69/1 <sup>c</sup>	40	
17/62 <sup>d</sup>	19/05 <sup>d</sup>	26/41	26/97	24/11 <sup>c</sup>	26/07 <sup>c</sup>	3/76 <sup>c</sup>	3/03 <sup>c</sup>	67/3 <sup>c</sup>	68/5 <sup>c</sup>	60	
15/21 <sup>ab</sup>	15/12 <sup>ab</sup>	27/62	28/74	27/89 <sup>a</sup>	28/75 <sup>a</sup>	5/11 <sup>a</sup>	4/04 <sup>a</sup>	70/7 <sup>a</sup>	71/8 <sup>a</sup>	0	
16/31 <sup>ab</sup>	16/58 <sup>abc</sup>	27/53	27/98	26/69 <sup>a</sup>	28/22 <sup>ab</sup>	4/85 <sup>a</sup>	3/86 <sup>ab</sup>	69/4 <sup>a</sup>	70/3 <sup>ab</sup>	40	
16/63 <sup>bc</sup>	16/91 <sup>c</sup>	27/29	27/87	26/24 <sup>b</sup>	27/5 <sup>b</sup>	4/32 <sup>b</sup>	3/46 <sup>b</sup>	69/1 <sup>ab</sup>	70/2 <sup>b</sup>	60	
15/01 <sup>a</sup>	14/74 <sup>ab</sup>	27/77	28/71	27/87 <sup>a</sup>	28/7 <sup>a</sup>	5/19 <sup>a</sup>	4/48 <sup>a</sup>	70/2 <sup>a</sup>	72/8 <sup>a</sup>	0	
16/27 <sup>ab</sup>	15/76 <sup>ab</sup>	27/3	27/99	26/8 <sup>ab</sup>	27/54 <sup>ab</sup>	4/83 <sup>ab</sup>	3/77 <sup>ab</sup>	69/3 <sup>ab</sup>	70/4 <sup>a</sup>	40	
17/09 <sup>c</sup>	16/95 <sup>c</sup>	27/21	27/73	25/71 <sup>b</sup>	27/46 <sup>bc</sup>	4/04 <sup>bc</sup>	3/37 <sup>bc</sup>	68/6 <sup>bc</sup>	70/3 <sup>Ab</sup>	60	
0/24	0/23	0/37	0/35	0/36	0/34	0/24	0/23	0/27	0/25		SEM
											(E)
15/42 <sup>a</sup>	16/09 <sup>a</sup>	26/97	27/65	27/02 <sup>a</sup>	27/45 <sup>a</sup>	5/08 <sup>a</sup>	3/97 <sup>a</sup>	69/15 <sup>ab</sup>	71/64 <sup>a</sup>		
16/2 <sup>a</sup>	15/49 <sup>a</sup>	27/6	28/05	27/07 <sup>a</sup>	27/22 <sup>a</sup>	4/62 <sup>ab</sup>	3/58 <sup>ab</sup>	70/47 <sup>a</sup>	71/04 <sup>a</sup>		
17/01 <sup>b</sup>	18/04 <sup>b</sup>	26/43	26/97	25/42 <sup>b</sup>	25/03 <sup>b</sup>	4/13 <sup>b</sup>	3/42 <sup>b</sup>	68/81 <sup>b</sup>	68/77 <sup>b</sup>		( )
											(HB)
15/36 <sup>a</sup>	15/64 <sup>a</sup>	27/36	28/91	15/42 <sup>a</sup>	27/42 <sup>a</sup>	4/97 <sup>a</sup>	3/99 <sup>a</sup>	70/15 <sup>a</sup>	71/86 <sup>a</sup>	0	
16/02 <sup>ab</sup>	16/22 <sup>ab</sup>	27/25	28/71	16/2 <sup>a</sup>	16/2 <sup>a</sup>	4/9 <sup>a</sup>	3/72 <sup>ab</sup>	69/77 <sup>ab</sup>	70/42 <sup>ab</sup>	40	
16/82 <sup>b</sup>	17/2 <sup>b</sup>	27/16	27/48	17/01 <sup>b</sup>	17/01 <sup>b</sup>	4/26 <sup>b</sup>	3/25 <sup>b</sup>	68/51 <sup>b</sup>	69/18 <sup>b</sup>	60	
											(S)
16/5		27/3		27/5 <sup>a</sup>		3/5 <sup>b</sup>		70/4 <sup>a</sup>			
16/4		27/8		26/5 <sup>b</sup>		4/6 <sup>a</sup>		69/4 <sup>b</sup>			
**		NS		*		**		*			E
NS		NS		*		NS		*			HB
NS		NS		*		*		NS			S
NS		NS		*		NS		NS			E×HB
*		*		NS		NS		NS			E×S
NS		NS		NS		NS		NS			HB×S
NS		NS		NS		NS		NS			E×HB×S

(P<0/05)

0/01

= \*\* 0/05

= \* (P>0/05)

=NS

=S

=HB

=E

•  
•