

HARAKAT
No.32, Summer 2007

:
/ / :
/ / :

The Comparison of Self – Efficacy and Tolerance of Ambiguity in Team and Individual Athletes and Non – athletes

M. Narimani¹ (Ph.D)
S. Ariapuran (M.A)
University of Mohaghegh Ardebili

Abstract: The purpose of this study is to compare self – efficacy and tolerance of ambiguity in team and individual athletes and non – athletes. 116 participants (34 team athletes, 20 individual athletes, 62 non – athletes) were randomly selected. The instrument are self – efficacy and tolerance of ambiguity questionnaires. Statistical analysis of data indicated that there was not a significant difference among three groups in self – efficacy. But in tolerance of ambiguity there was a significant difference among the groups. In other words, tolerance of ambiguity in team athletes than in other groups. Also was showed a significant different between athletes in two groups with high and low tolerance of ambiguity in self – efficacy. Finding showed that there was a meaningful relation between self-efficacy and tolerance of ambiguity.

Key words:

Self – efficacy, Tolerance of ambiguity, Athletes , Students.

1 - Email : Narimani@uma.ac.ir

()

()

()

()

()

()

()

-
- 1 - Mental Health
 - 2 - Thel weil & Greenles
 - 3 - Bandura
 - 4 - Feltz
 - 5 - Darrenl et al

()

()

- /

)

(

-
- 1 - Miller & Carlyle
 - 2 - Tolerance of Ambiguity
 - 3 - Faxman
 - 4 - Cluster

()

()

()

5

()

()

-
- 1 - Macanala
 - 2 - Eys & Carron
 - 3 - Beaushamp, Bray & Albinson
 - 4 - Fielding

()

()

(N =)

(N =)

()

/
(()) /
()

(() ())
()

LSD

(

6

LSD
.()

(P= /)

-

| | f | | df | | | | |
|-----|---|---|----|---|--|--|--|
| / * | / | / | | / | | | |
| / | / | / | | / | | | |

(

(P= /)

.()

-

| | t | df | sd | | N | | |
|-----|---|----|--------|--------|---|--|--|
| / * | / | | / / | / / | | | |

δ ()
r = / .
() /

-

| | | |
|-----|-------|--|
| | | |
| * / | r = / | |

(

() (P = /)

-

| | t | df | sd | | N | | |
|-----|---|----|--------|--------|---|--|--|
| * / | / | | / / | / / | | | |

()

δ

()

δ

()

()

)

(,

δ

()

()

()

()

"()".

"

"()".

"

"()".

6. Bandura, A. (1997). "Self – efficacy toward unifying theory of behavioral change". *Psychological review*, 84, PP: 191-215.
7. Bandura, a. (1986). "Social foundations of thought and action". *A social cognition theory*. Engewood, cliffs, NJ: prentice – Hall.
8. Biochump, M.R., Bray, R.S., and Albinson, J.G. (2002). "Pre – competition imagery, self – efficacy and performance in colegiat golfers". *Journal of sport sciences*, 20, PP: 697-705.
9. Beauchamp, M.R. Bray, S.R., fiedling, A. and eys, M.A. (2005) "A multilevel psychology of the relationship between role ambiguity and rol efficacy in sport". *Psychology of sport and exercise*. PP: 289-302.
10. Darrenl, T, jeffrey, M and Cortl. L. (1996). "Relationship between self – efficacy wresting performance, and affect to competition". *Sport psychologist*, 10, PP:73-83.
11. Eys, M.A., carron, A.V. (2001). "Role ambiguity task cohesion and task self – efficacy in hockey". *Small group research* 32, PP: 356-373.
12. Feltz, D.L. (1988). "Gender differences in causal element of self – efficacy on a huge avoidance motor task". *Journal of sport and exercise psychology*, 10. PP:151-160.
13. Miller, M., carlyle, S. (1992). "The relationship between motivaton and self – efficacy in competitive athletes participating in swimming, ice hockey and basketball". *Journal of sport behavior*, 15, PP:243-249.
14. Thel well, R.C., and Greenles, L.A. (2003). "Developing competitive endurance performance using mental skills training". *The sport psychology*, 17, PP: 1318-337.